## **EXHIBIT A**

	1
1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3 4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 08-CR-00288(SJ)
5 6	: -against- : United States Courthouse : Brooklyn, New York :
8	: Monday, November 16, 2009 THOMAS ARCHER : 9:30 a.m. and RUKHSANA RAFIQUE, :
9 10	Defendant. :
11	X
12 13 14 15	TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE STERLING JOHNSON, JR. UNITED STATES SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE
16	APPEARANCES:
17 18 19 20 21	For the Government: BENTON J. CAMPBELL, ESQ.  United States Attorney  Eastern District of New York  271 Cadman Plaza East  Brooklyn, New York 11201  BY: ANDREW EDWARD GOLDSMITH, ESQ.  JAMES PATRICK LOONAM, ESQ.  SOUMYA DAYANANDA, ESQ.
22 23 24	Assistant United States Attorney For the Defendant: ALOMAR & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 60-89 Myrtle Avenue 2nd floor
25	Ridgewood, New York 11385 . BY:KARINA E. ALOMAR, ESQ.

## 7 Proceedings The Government and the defense got the copies at the 1 2 same time on Friday afternoon. THE COURT: So, you didn't have copies and they 3 4 didn't have copies? MR. GOLDSMITH: That's correct, Your Honor. 5 6 We are -- we do join in the defense's request to have jury selection go ahead today, but to have openings begin 7 on Wednesday, really just to eliminate any issue about whether 8 9 they have had sufficient time to look at these copies before 10 the cross. These copies are relevant to the first witness --11 12 the witness the Government plans to call first. 13 THE COURT: Let me tell you that I am not very happy 14 with the Department of Homeland Security. I do not like their 15 conduct in these proceedings. Now, I am going to grant your adjournment, but I am 16 17 not going to do it for Thursday because I'm taking into 18 consideration; Ms. Alomar said that some time ago she had 19 tickets for wherever she was going on the 25th, and I don't 20 think that we will be finished by the 25th. So, I'm going to give you a date later on. 21 The other thing is that I have an issue that I have 22 23 to resolve with respect to Mr. Gupta. 24 There was a deposition that was taken and the

allegations are that the Government -- and when I say "the

25

8 Proceedings 1 Government," there's only one Government, that's the 2 U.S. Attorney's Office and, whether Homeland Security knows it or not, or agree or not, they are part of the Government. 3 4 They said or allowed Mr. Gupta to depart the country 5 back to -- where did he go to; to India? 6 MR. GOLDSMITH: Yes, Your Honor. 7 Now, as you point out in your papers, THE COURT: 8 and, also, Ms. Whalen points out in her papers, that: 9 "A witness is not unavailable if his absence is due to the procurement or wrongdoing of the proponent of the 10 11 deposition testimony for the purpose of preventing the witness from attending or testifying." 12 13 I am very suspicious of the actions of the 14 Department of Homeland Security and I'm going to order a 15 hearing. And I want the officials at Homeland Security who 16 are responsible for allowing Mr. Gupta to leave. 17 I, also, am concerned and very suspicious; in the 18 papers of Ms. Alomar, and I don't think they have been 19 disputed, that there was a -- that Gupta's C-visa and H-4-visa 20 application have been destroyed. How have they been 21 destroyed? 22 I mean, why were they destroyed? 23 MR. GOLDSMITH: Your Honor, the -- Mr. Gupta's files 24 with Immigration did not include any C-visa or the 25 other visa -- either of these two visas or visa applications

	Proceedings 9
1	that they requested. There weren't, they just weren't in the
2	files.
3	Ms. Alomar suggested that we check Mr. Gupta's
4	wife's file because maybe they were in there for some reason.
5	We did try to obtain her file. It turned out that
6	those files had been destroyed in 2007 and 2008. The wife's
7	files.
8	My understanding is that the reason is, that there
9	are various kinds of files. The wife's files were what are
10	called receipt files. They are created when certain types of
11	applications are made.
12	Those files, after a certain amount of time goes by,
13	those files are destroyed, unless
14	THE COURT: When is the period of time? How long do
15	they keep them?
16	MR. GOLDSMITH: I don't know that, Your Honor.
17	My understanding is that it's the ordinary course;
18	that these were destroyed in the ordinary course, whatever
19	that is.
20	THE COURT: Fine. I want somebody from Homeland
21	Security to testify as to the policy.
22	There is, also, a law that says that Government
23	files are to be kept for a certain period of time.
24	Do these files fall within that particular
25	parameter? I don't know. But I want somebody from Homeland

## 10 Proceedings 1 Security to be here to be able to testify, under oath. MR. GOLDSMITH: Yes. Your Honor. 2 I should add, it's not entirely clear that the 3 4 applications on behalf of Mr. Gupta that defense counsel is 5 looking for were ever even in these files of his wife's. THE COURT: It may be, but I don't know that. I 6 7 will have to make that determination. The other thing is, that they're putting you in an 8 uncomfortable position; I see that you had promised, according 9 to Ms. Whalen, to provide the defense a certain file and that 10 11 Ms. Whalen alleges in her letter, dated November the 9th, that 12 Homeland Security refused to allow you to give the files to 13 her, or to present them for this. 14 Homeland Security has no authority to refuse to do anything. Homeland Security, part of the Government, will do 15 what I tell them to do. But we will have this hearing. 16 17 Is Thursday good? 18 MS. WHALEN: This Thursday? 19 THE COURT: Yes. 20 MS. WHALEN: Yes, Your Honor. MS. ALOMAR: That's fine, Your Honor. 21 22 MR. GOLDSMITH: That's fine, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: This Thursday. And you will have people here from Homeland Security 24 25 who will testify under oath.

	Proceedings 12
1	MR. GOLDSMITH: I did not mean to suggest he was,
2	Your Honor.
3	Well, I understand, thank you.
4	THE COURT: I don't know whether he is or not, but I
5	want somebody here to testify as to the circumstances
6	surrounding Mr. Gupta being allowed to leave the country. And
7	they will testify under oath.
8	MR. GOLDSMITH: Yes, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Okay? This will be this Thursday.
10	Now, what we'll do is to we'll have a control
11	date.
12	Ana, let's get a control date.
13	I probably won't be able to try this case until
14	after the first of the year because I have a busy trial
15	schedule. And I will be trying cases in other jurisdictions,
16	also.
17	But let's have a control date, Ana.
18	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Sometime in January?
19	THE COURT: Get a date.
20	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes, Your Honor.
21	January 4th, at 9:30.
22	THE COURT: Now, you might have a good case, you
23	might have a strong case, but you are being undermined by the
24	Department of Homeland Security.
25	I said that on the record, not you.

## 13 Proceedings MR. GOLDSMITH: Thank you, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: And then, what you'll do is, that if you need something from the Homeland Security, whatever it is, you 3 4 tell them this is what you want. 5 And if they don't comply with your direction, you 6 tell me. 7 I will either tell them or the case might be dismissed. I don't know. Okay? 8 MR. GOLDSMITH: Yes, Your Honor. 9 10 There's one other pending motion, which is 11 Mr. Archer's renewed motion to exclude testimony of 12 Mel Shatzkamer. It was filed on Friday. 13 We filed a response, I believe, yesterday. 14 THE COURT: From who? I haven't seen it yet. 15 MS. ALOMAR: Your Honor, I filed the motion. THE COURT: I was out of town and I just got back 16 17 2:00 o'clock Saturday morning. Now, I was here Saturday 18 afternoon, but I did not see it. 19 Now, what is your motion? 20 MS. ALOMAR: Your Honor, the essence of my motion is 21 that Your Honor gave me permission to renew my opposition to 22 Officer Shatzkamer's testimony. And my, the basis for my opposition is --23 THE COURT: Who is Shatzkamer? 24 25 MS. ALOMAR: Shatzkamer is an officer from The

Proceedings

Department of Homeland Security who is -- the Government is saying that they're not going to qualify him as an expert, yet he's going to come in and testify as to patterns of fraud.

It is the defense's position that to permit this officer to testify as to patterns without giving any type of empirical data upon which this data can be relied upon is wrong.

In addition, it is our position that if

Officer Shatzkamer is going to -- if he's going to testify in
the same manner that he did in a trial in the Southern

District, entitled <u>U.S. v. Gupta</u>, that he's misleading the
jury because he's going to be testifying --

THE COURT: Let me hear from the Government.

MR. GOLDSMITH: Your Honor, the Government has said repeatedly, in papers and in court, which are cited in our response to Mr. Archer's motion, that Officer Shatzkamer will not be testifying as to his opinion to anything, including as to whether the facts in the files that he reviewed suggest fraud.

He will be testifying, essentially, as a summary -- as a speaking summary chart. He reviewed the applications prepared by the defendants and he can -- there are 175 applications and he can say that some number of those applications had X characteristics, some number of them had Y characteristics. It's a factual matter.